



This document is provided by  
**National Geographic Learning / Cengage**

**[NGL.Cengage.com/School](https://www.ngl.cengage.com/School) | 888-915-3276**

# Capturing the Readers, Not Responses

by Dr. Alfred W. Tatum

**AS I PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL** development to secondary teachers, I find the following scenario typical:

A ninth-grade teacher informs me that her students have difficulty responding to the questions she constructs to assess their comprehension. I ask, “What is causing the difficulty?” She responds, “They have difficulty with critical thinking questions and making inferences.” I then ask, “Why are they having problems with the critical thinking questions and making inferences?” She responds, “I do not know. They do not understand the materials.”

In this scenario, the teacher has been constructing assessment questions and capturing the students’ responses. It is clear that the students have difficulty responding to those questions. However, it is less clear why the students are struggling with the questions. This suggests that the teacher has not been able to “capture” the reader—that is see into the reader’s thinking processes to understand the source of the reader’s struggles.

Here is another example. Read the test passage and answer choices:

Very little was known about the structure of living matter until the development of the light microscope. Then Robert Hooke, an English scientist, made an important discovery in 1665 while using a simple microscope that he designed. He observed tiny, orderly spaces in a thin slice of cork, a type of dead plant material. These spaces reminded him of the small rooms in which monks lived. So he gave the tiny spaces the same name as the small rooms, cells.

Robert Hooke discovered

- a. a simple microscope
- b. tiny, orderly spaces in cork
- c. small rooms used by monks

Out of a group of 132 students, 53% of the students (n=70) identified *b* as the correct answer choice. However, 46% of the students (n=61) identified *a*, an incorrect response, as their answer choice. A brief diagnosis can be made for the students who answered the question incorrectly by looking at the passage and the question. They saw the same words in answer choice *a* – *simple microscope* – and the sentence with the name *Robert Hooke* and the word *discovery*. As a result, a relatively high percentage of students answered the question incorrectly. On the other hand, 53% of the students were able to use information from several different sentences to answer the question correctly. These are patterns that are helpful to analyze. Not only will teachers begin to capture the students’ responses, but they will begin to capture the reader as well.

There is often a thin line between capturing students’ reading responses and capturing the reader. A student’s response to a comprehension question indicates how well that student performs on an assessment. A score or a grade can be easily generated. However, identifying students’ reading-related strengths and weaknesses is more complex. Different students can answer the same question incorrectly for different reasons. For example:

- Christopher may provide a wrong answer for a question because of his over-reliance on decoding and his failure to pay attention to the structure of the text. He may view reading as a word-calling task.
- Sarah may fail to monitor her comprehension while reading. She may be interested in finishing the text and hope that she understands the material when she finishes. This may result in her failure to use fix-up strategies.
- Sidney, however, may not be familiar with the relationships between questions and answers and may not know that his background knowledge is important when reading materials.

This may cause him to look for the same words in the text and the comprehension questions as a strategy to respond to comprehension questions.

Each readers' concepts of reading can help him or her in some situations, but can adversely impact reading comprehension in others.

## How to “Capture the Reader”

As noted in *Reading for the 21st Century: Adolescent Literacy Teaching and Learning Strategies* (Kamil, 2003), about 10% of students enter middle and high school with reading problems that stem from not having mastered the alphabetic principle. The majority of struggling readers at high school do not view reading favorably because they lack successful experiences. These students are often not motivated to read. Other students can decode text, but they have difficulty comprehending texts written at their assigned grade level. Many of these students have “survival” strategies or use avoidance mechanisms to protect their identities as adolescents and to avoid the stigma of being viewed as a struggling reader. Many of these struggling readers have experienced reading-related failures over the years and are not open to receiving support from teachers because they believe failure is inevitable. In most cases, they attribute failure to ability, not effort. These issues make capturing the reader difficult. However, four considerations should be honored when assessing these students in order to provide responsive instruction.

### 1. Establish a Trusting Relationship

For many students, it is painful not knowing how to read. They know that they are falling behind their peers. To mitigate their problems and protect their identities, they often resist instruction and assessment until a personal rapport is established with the teacher. These students will begin to discuss feelings about their reading problems when they establish kinship with an adult they perceive as being responsive and caring. At this point, teachers can then begin to have conversation about the dilemmas associated with reading problems.

### 2. Allow Students to Fail and Recover

It is important to help adolescent students attribute their reading difficulties to effort or lack of strategy use, *not* to lack of ability. Give them opportunities to practice their strategy use under non-threatening conditions. Answering comprehension questions should not be a one-time proposition. Students should be made aware that some questions will not be graded, but rather used to give feedback about what they can do to increase their comprehension.

The *Edge Online Coach*<sup>TM</sup> is very useful in this regard, since it allows students to read at their own pace, to choose appropriate supports, to answer comprehension questions, to get feedback and hints, and then to attempt the question again. The software provides opportunities for students to both experience success and receive corrective, responsive feedback. The privacy of one student and one computer also helps lower students' affective filters and encourages them to take risks.

However, students must also be made aware that they will be held accountable for answering the same types of questions independently for a grade, as on the Cluster and Unit Tests provided with *Edge*.

---

“‘Capturing’ the reader means seeing into the reader’s thinking process to understand the source of the reader’s struggles.”

---

### 3. Involve Students in the Assessment Process

The voices of adolescents are valuable to the assessment process. They can provide insights into the variables contributing to their reading difficulties. When I was teaching, I went to conferences and read professional materials to find ways to engage my students with text. Then, it dawned on me one day to ask the students. They provided answers that allowed me to support them. They told me that they loved the reading materials and were learning a lot, but they had difficulty with the vocabulary, suffered from fear of embarrassment, and had limited experiences in school reading the types of lengthy pieces they were being asked to read. They also offered that no one expected them to succeed.

As a result of hearing their voices, I learned that students are in a good position to help teachers craft responsive instruction. However, this information is often not given voluntarily by the students. A sincere effort must be made by teachers to invoke and honor the voices of adolescents. Their voices are valuable resources for identifying the challenges they face when reading. Use the **Reader Reflection** affective and metacognitive measures, provided for every reading assignment in *Edge*, as one way of invoking students' voices and listening to their own ideas about their reading power.

#### 4. Assess Strategically

Here are four techniques that are built into *Edge*, which will help you reveal students' comprehension of texts:

- Ask students frequently to find supporting evidence in the text that shaped their responses.
- Give frequent daily and weekly practice with and feedback on students' use of reading strategies.
- Ask metacognitive questions that target the use of reading skills and strategies and therefore provide a picture into how students are using (or not using) those key strategies.
- Develop in students the practice of self-questioning.

With these strategies in place, you will be much more equipped to capture the reader, and not just the reader's response.

## Conclusion

Providing quality instructional support for struggling adolescent readers depends on assessment practices. All too often, struggling adolescent readers have little understanding of why they have difficulty comprehending text. *Edge* has been designed to help teachers "capture" adolescent readers, to give them chances to fail and recover, and to involve students in the assessment process. These assessment practices yield information that leads to responsive literacy teaching. ❖

---

## Bibliography

- Agee, J. (2000). What is effective literature instruction? A study of experienced high school English teachers in differing grade- and ability-level classes. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 32, 303–348.
- Alfassi, M. (1998). Reading for meaning: The efficacy of reciprocal teaching in fostering reading comprehension in high school students in remedial reading classes. *American Educational Research Journal*, 35, 309–332.
- Alvermann, D. (2002). Effective literacy instruction for adolescents. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 34, 189–208.
- Alvermann, D., Hinchman, K., Moore, D., Phelps, S., & Waff, D. (In press). *Reconceptualizing the literacies in adolescents' lives*, (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Alvermann, D., Young, J., Weaver, D., Hinchman, K., Moore, D., Phelps, S., & Thrash, E. (1996). Middle and high school students' perceptions of how they experience text-based discussions: A multicase study. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 31, 244–267.
- Applebee, A. N., Langer, J. A., Nystrand, M., & Gamoran, A. (2003). Discussion-based approaches to developing understanding: Classroom instruction and student performance in middle and high school English. *American Educational Research Journal*, 40, 685–730.
- Athanases, S. (1998). Diverse learners, diverse texts: Exploring identity and difference through literary encounters. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 30, 273–296.
- Biancarosa, C., & Snow, C. (2006). *Reading next—A vision for action and research in middle and high school literacy: A report to the Carnegie Corporation of New York* (2nd ed.). Washington, DC: Alliance for Excellent Education.
- Fairbanks, C. M. (1998). Nourishing conversations: Literacy, democracy, and urban adolescents. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 30, 187–203.
- Finders, Margaret. (1996). "Just girls": Literacy and allegiance in junior high school. *Written Communication*, 13 (1), January 93–129.
- Finn, P. (1999). *Literacy with an attitude: Educating working-class children in their own self-interest*. New York: SUNY.
- Fordham, S. (1996). *Blacked out: Dilemmas of race, identity and success at Capital High*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Gee, J. (1996). *Social linguistics and literacies: Ideology in discourses* (2nd ed.). London: Taylor & Francis.
- Greenleaf, C. L., Schoenbach, R., Cziko, C., & Mueller, F. L. (2001). Apprenticing adolescent readers to academic literacy. *Harvard Educational Review*, 71, 79–129.
- Guzzetti, B. & Gamboa, M. (2004). 'Zines for social justice: Adolescent girls writing on their own. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 39, 408–437.
- Hamel, F., & Smith, M. (1998). You can't play if you don't know the rules: Interpretive conventions and teaching of literature to students in lower-track classes. *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, 14, 355–377.

Hinchman, K., Payne-Bourcy, L., Thomas, H., & Chandler-Olcott, K. (2002). Representing adolescents' literacies: Case studies of three white males. *Reading Research & Instruction*, 41, 229–246.

Hull, G., & Schultz, K. (2002). *School's out!: Bridging out-of-school literacies with classroom practice*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Jiménez, R. (1997). The strategic reading abilities and potential of five low-literacy Latina/o readers in middle school. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 32, 224–243.

Langer, J. A. (2001). Beating the odds: Teaching middle and high school students to read and write well. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38, 837–880.

Lankshear, C., & Knobel, M. (2003). *New literacies: Changing knowledge and classroom learning*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.

Lee, C. (1995). A culturally based cognitive apprenticeship: Teaching African American high school students skills in literary interpretation. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 30, 608–630.

Livdahl, B., Smart, K., Wallman, J., Herbert, T., Geiger, D., & Anderson, J. (1995). *Stories from response-centered classrooms: Speaking, questioning, and theorizing for the center of the action*. New York: Teachers College Press.

Mahiri, J. (Ed.). (2004). *What they don't learn in school: Literacy in the lives of urban youth*. New York: Peter Lang.

Moje, E. (1996). "I teach students, not subjects": Teacher-student relationships as contexts for secondary literacy. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 31, 172–195.

Moje, E. (2000). "To be part of the story": The literacy practices of gangsta adolescents. *Teachers College Record*, 102, 651–690.

Moje, E., Ciechanowski, K., Kramer, K., Ellis, L., Carrillo, R., & Collazo, T. (2004). Working toward third space in content area literacy: An examination of everyday funds of knowledge and discourse. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 39, 38–70.

Moore, D. W., Bean, T. W., Birdyshaw, D., & Rycik, J. A. for the Commission on Adolescent Literacy of the International Reading Association. (1999). *Adolescent literacy: A position statement*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Neilsen, L. (2006). Playing for real: Texts and the performance of identity. In D. Alvermann, K. Hinchman, D. Moore, S. Phelps, and D. Waff (Eds.), *Reconceptualizing the literacies in adolescents' lives*, (2nd ed.). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.

Payne-Bourcy, L., & Chandler-Olcott, K. (2003). Spotting social class: An exploration of one adolescent's language and literacy practices. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 35, 551–590.

Penney, C. (2002). Teaching decoding skills to poor readers in high school. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 34, 99–118.

Rex, L. (2001). The remaking of a high school reader. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 36, 288–314.

Rosenshine, B., Meister, C., & Chapman, S. (1996). Teaching students to generate questions: A review of the intervention studies. *Review of Educational Research*, 66, 181–221.

Sturtevant, E., & Linek, W. (2003). The instructional beliefs and decisions of middle and secondary teachers who successfully blend literacy and content. *Reading Research & Instruction*, 43, 74–90.

Tatum, A. W. (2000). Breaking down barriers that disenfranchise African American adolescent readers in low-level tracks. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 44(1), 52–64.

Worthy, J., Moorman, M., & Turner, M. (1999). What Johnny likes to read is hard to find in school. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 34, 12–27.



### Dr. Alfred W. Tatum

began his career as an eighth-grade teacher, later becoming a reading specialist and discovering the power of texts to reshape the life outcomes of struggling readers.

His current research focuses on the literacy development of African American adolescent males (*Teaching Reading to Black Adolescent Males: Closing the Achievement Gap*, 2005, and "Building the Textual Lineages of African American Male Adolescents," 2007), and he provides teacher professional development to urban middle and high schools.